

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/786,573	02/25/2004	Henry E. Rogers	HES 2003-IP-012756U1	1811	
75	90 08/25/2006		EXAM	INER	
JOHN WUSTENBERG			FULLER, ROBERT EDWARD		
HALLIBURTON ENERGY SERVICES CORP. 2600 SOUTH SECOND STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
DUNCAN, OK 73536			3672		
			DATE MAILED: 08/25/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/786,573	ROGERS ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Robert E. Fuller	3672		

zorore are runnig er arr rippear zirer	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Robert E. Fuller	3672					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>08 August 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.					
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complian time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this a no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.				
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	dension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig to than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed. 	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th					
AMENDMENTS							
3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)	onsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause				
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.		ected claims.					
		mnliant Amendment	(PTOL:-324)				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 1,4-7,9,10,12,13,15-18,20,21,23-27,30 and 32.							
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) 1,4-27 and 29-32			d amendment				
canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 1,4-27 and 29-32. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 33,34 and 36.	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will will not be entered, or b) ☐ will will will will will be will be will will be win the will be wil	ll be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar 							
was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to							
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessal 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	ry and was not earlier presented. S	ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).				
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by			nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)					
13.	ale						
	Jennifer H. Gay	Jennifer H.	Gay				
	Primary Examiner	Dein					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05) Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant did not properly rewrite claim 35 in independent form having all of the limitations of the independent claim. Applicant both deleted limitations from claim 35 (previously objected to) and deleted limitations from claim 33 (previously rejected). Doing so altered the scope of the claim and would require further consideration.